Experimental Elastic Deformation Characterization of a Flapping-Wing MAV using Visual Image Correlation



Ms. Kelly Stewart Air Force Research Laboratory Munitions Directorate Eglin AFB, FL

Dr. Roberto Albertani University of Florida Research and Engineering Education Facility Shalimar, FL







- Introduction / Motivation
- Methodology
- Validation
- Dynamic Tests
 - Setup
 - Post-processing
- Results
 - Wing Motion
 - Uncertainty in Rotation Angle
 - Wing Deformation
- Conclusion / Future Work





- Interest in research community to further develop MAV technology for performance in tightly confined environments at varying flight conditions
- Biological Inspiration
 - Flexible wings
 - Can readily adopt to changing flight conditions
 - Fixed-wing MAVs whose wing structures are fabricated from aeroelastic material show improvement over rigid counterparts
 - Flapping wings
 - Flexible, fixed-wings show an advantage, but still do not meet all of the agility and versatility requirements
 - Natural fliers (bats, birds, insects) use flapping motion at low speed







- Worth investigating kinematics and dynamics of flapping motion
- Kinematics and dynamics must be decoupled when applying biologically-inspired technologies
 - Only rigid-body-motion is needed for IMU and system identification
 - However, combining wing mechanics of flexible wings with feedback control requires knowing elastic deformation
- Dynamic visual image correlation (VIC) enables simultaneous measurement of rigid-body-motion and deformation







- VIC measures full-field displacements through stereo triangulation
 - Provides reference points (X, Y, Z)
 - Provides displacement measurements (u, v, w)
 - Displacement is result of both kinematics and deformation
- Deformation is difference between total displacement and rigid body displacement

$$\begin{bmatrix} u_{Elastic} \\ v_{Elastic} \\ w_{Elastic} \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{i}^{\hat{x},\hat{y},\hat{z}} = \begin{bmatrix} X+u \\ Y+v \\ Z+w \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{i}^{\hat{x},\hat{y},\hat{z}} - \#TM \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \\ Z \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}_{i}^{\hat{x},\hat{y},\hat{z}}$$

• Acquire rigid body displacement by deriving homogeneous transformation matrix (HTM)

Rigid-body-motion from HTM



- Motion based on AOI frame of reference
 - Rotation
 - Flapping angle, $\Gamma \rightarrow R_y$
 - Sweep angle, $\Psi \to \mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{z}'}$
 - Feather angle, $\Theta \to R_{x^{"}}$
 - Translation (t_x , t_y , t_z)
- Homogeneous Transformation Matrix



- Setup problem in form [b] = [A] [x] and solve for [x]
 - [b] = VIC measurements
 - [A] = known reference points (X,Y,Z)
 - [x] = coefficients of the transformation matrix









Deformation Estimate

• Project rigid-body-motion to flexible area-of-interest



Simple subtraction to get deformation









- Subjected carbon fiber wing to known rotations and deformations
- Repetition tests at 0 with no deformation → acquire measurement uncertainties



Estimate Errors		
Rotation, $\Gamma(°)$	Deformation (mm)*	
0.2	0.3 – 0.9	
* Note: AOI did not extend completely to wing tip		





Dynamic Tests



Two wings of different material subjected to flapping motion



- •Acquired from commercial vehicle capable of flapping flight
- •Kite-like material does not stretch
- •Carbon fiber rods



- •Fabricated at the UF MAV Lab
- •Thin latex (0.33 mm thick) stretches significantly
- •Wing perimeter is bidirectional carbon fiber
- •Battens are unidirectional carbon fiber





- Rigid plate affixed to inboard section of wing
- Wing attached to linear actuator via a rigid rod and universal joint with low friction
- Sinusoidal signal fed to linear actuator at 5 Hz and 10 Hz
- Load cell placed between the wing and the linear actuator
- Data recorded for 1 sec at 100 fps

Electromagnetic Shaker (Linear Actuator)

Ling Dynamic Systems V201/3-PA 25E

Frequencies up to 13,000 Hz

Load Cell	
Bruel & Kier 8230	
Sensitivity of 110 mV/N	







Data Post-Processing





Results – Wing Motion

- Acquired time history of flapping angle
 - 2 cycles worth of data displayed
 - Amplitude was adjusted by load cell to stay within acceleration limits
- Kite wing
 - Amplitude: 16.5° at 5 Hz
 - 2.0° at 10 Hz
- Latex wing
 - Amplitude: 12.0° at 5 Hz
 4.5° at 10 Hz
 - Estimates at 10 Hz have largest uncertainty of all tests

	5 Hz	10 Hz
Kite Wing	1.06e-02°	8.94 <i>e</i> -03°
Latex Wing	1.68e-03°	1.01°







Results – Uncertainty in Estimates

- Coefficients pertaining to very small X, Y, or Z values will have a larger uncertainty
 - Result of model used in linear regression
 - Algorithm initially assumed Z would be small compared to X, Y
 - Performs inverse trigonometry with the first two columns of the HTM
 - Uncertainty in flapping angle is a function of $u_{HTM,11}$, $u_{HTM,21}$, $u_{HTM,31}$, $u_{HTM,\Theta}$, $u_{HTM,\Psi}$
 - Correlated rigid AOI for latex wing at 10 Hz, however, had small values for X as well

$$u_{HTM,L10} = \begin{bmatrix} 1.68e - 02 & -1.71e - 05 & 7.94e - 03 & 2.75e - 03 \\ -2.26e - 03 & 2.30e - 06 & -1.07e - 03 & -3.70e - 04 \\ -1.77e - 02 & 1.08e - 05 & -8.36e - 03 & -2.89e - 03 \\ -- & -- & -- & -- \end{bmatrix}$$







0

[uu] -20 **x** -40

-60

-80 ∟ 50

100

x [mm]

150

Results – Kite Wing Deformation

-5

-10

200





-20

-30

-60

-70

-80

-90

50

100

x [mm]

150

200

[ш_40 _50 ∽

Start of Upstroke

- **Out-of-plane**
 - Unidirectional contour bands
 - Small amount of wing twist
- **Maximum Deformation**
 - ± 5 mm at 5 Hz
 - 12 mm at 10Hz





Results – Latex Wing Deformation









- Method for decoupling the wing kinematics from the deformation of a flapping-wing using VIC data
 - Constructed HTM from rigid-body-motion and projected to flexible AOI \rightarrow subtracted to get deformation
 - Provided time history of flapping angle and contour plots
 - Observed that a careful check of HTM uncertainties should be carried out prior to projecting RBM
- Future work
 - Dynamic VIC in conjunction with wind tunnel testing
 - Can the corresponding change in aerodynamics with wing shape be quantified?
 - Study of wing deformation in vacuum
 - How much of the deformation is related to inertial forces versus aerodynamic loads?





Thank you for your attention